




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Progress in Nuclear Energy

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/pnucene](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/pnucene)

## Thermal methods for on-line power monitoring of the IPR-R1 TRIGA Reactor

Amir Zacarias Mesquita\*, Hugo César Rezende

Nuclear Technology Development Center – CDTN, Campus da UFMG – Pampulha, P.O. Box: 941, ZIP Code: 30.123-970 – Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

## A B S T R A C T

## Keywords:

Research reactors  
TRIGA reactor  
Instrumented fuel rod  
Power  
Temperature  
Thermal power

The IPR-R1 Research Reactor is a TRIGA Mark I type reactor. It is a pool reactor, and the fuel elements are cooled by water natural convection. The heat removal capacity of this process is great enough for safety reasons at the current maximum 250 kW reactor power level. However, a heat removal system is provided for removing heat from the reactor pool water. Power monitoring of nuclear reactors is always done by means of neutronic instruments. In the IPR-R1 the power is measured by four nuclear channels. This work presents the results and methodology for monitoring the power of this reactor by three thermal processes. One method uses the temperature difference between an instrumented fuel element and the pool water below the reactor core. The other two methods consist in the steady-state energy balance of the primary and secondary reactor cooling loops.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The IPR-R1 reactor fuel is an alloy of zirconium hydride and uranium enriched at 20% in  $^{235}\text{U}$ . Fig. 1 shows the reactor pool and Fig. 2 shows the core top view. The reactor core has 58 aluminum-clad fuel elements and 5 stainless steel-clad fuel elements located in five rings around the central thimble. One of these stainless steel-clad fuel elements is instrumented with three thermocouples along its centerline in order to evaluate the reactor thermal hydraulic performance (Mesquita, 2005).

Fuel temperatures were measured in different power levels by an instrumented fuel element at location B1, which is the hottest position in the core. Three new processes for reactor power measurement by thermal ways were developed as a result of the experiments. These processes make it possible on-line or off-line evaluation of the reactor power and the analysis of its behavior.

## 2. Power measuring channels using neutronic methods

Power monitoring of nuclear reactors is always done by means of nuclear detectors, which are calibrated by thermal methods. In the IPR-R1 Reactor four neutron-sensitive chambers are mounted around the reactor core for flux measurement. The departure channel consists of a fission counter with a pulse amplifier that a logarithmic count rate circuit. The logarithmic channel consists of a compensated ion chamber, whose signal is the input to a logarithmic amplifier, which gives a logarithmic power indication from

less than 0.1 W to full power. The linear channel consists of a compensated ion chamber, whose signal is the input to a sensitive amplifier and recorder with a range switch, which gives accurate power information from source level to full power on a linear recorder. The percent channel consists of an uncompensated ion chamber, whose signal is the input to a power level monitor circuit and meter, which is calibrated in percentage of full power. Finally, the ionization chamber neutron detector measures the flux of neutrons thermalized in the vicinity of the detector. This signal is not always proportional to the integral neutron flux in the core and consequently to the core power. Besides, the response of a single nuclear detector is sensitive to the changes in the core configuration, particularly to the control rod position. This is important in the TRIGA reactor, which do not have distributed absorbers for reactivity control and criticality maintenance is doing by insertion of control rods (Zagar et al., 1999).

## 3. Power measuring channels using thermal process

## 3.1. Power measuring channel by thermal balance

The reactor core is cooled by natural convection of demineralized light water in the reactor pool. Heat is removed from the reactor pool and released into the atmosphere through the primary cooling loop, the secondary cooling loop and the cooling tower (Fig. 3). Pool temperature depends on reactor power, as well as external temperature, because the latter affects heat dissipation in the cooling tower. The total power is determined by the thermal balance of cooling water flowing through the primary and secondary loops added to the calculated heat losses. These losses

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 31 3069 3309; fax: +55 31 3069 3380.  
E-mail address: [amir@cdtn.br](mailto:amir@cdtn.br) (A.Z. Mesquita).




Fig. 1. The IPR-R1 TRIGA Research Nuclear Reactor.




Fig. 2. Top view of the IPR-R1 TRIGA core.

represent a very small fraction of the total power (about 1.5% of total) (Mesquita et al., 2007).

The inlet and outlet temperatures are measured by four platinum resistance thermometers (PT-100) positioned at the inlet and at the

outlet pipes of the primary and secondary cooling loops. The flow rate in the primary loop is measured by an orifice plate and a differential pressure transmitter. The flow in the secondary loop is measured by a flowmeter. The pressure transmitter and the temperature measuring lines were calibrated and an adjusted equation was added to the data acquisition system (Mesquita and Rezende, 2004).

The steady-state is reached after some hours of reactor operation, so that the power dissipated in the cooling system added with the losses should be equal to the core power. The uncertainty in the power measurement considered all the uncertainty propagation from primary parameters, according to the methodology described by Coleman and Steele (1999).

The thermal power dissipated in the primary and secondary loops were given by:

$$q_{cool} = \dot{m} \cdot c_p \cdot \Delta T \quad (1)$$

where  $q_{cool}$  is the thermal power dissipated in each loop [kW],  $\dot{m}$  is the flow rate of the coolant water in the loop [kg/s],  $c_p$  is the specific heat of the coolant [kJ/kg°C], and  $\Delta T$  is the difference between the temperatures at loop the inlet and outlet [°C]. The data acquisition computer program calculates the power dissipated in the cooling loop with the collected data being used in Eq. (1), and with the  $\dot{m}$  and  $c_p$  values calculated as function of coolant temperature (Miller, 1989).

To calculate the heat losses, one resistance thermometer (PT-100) was positioned inside the pool to measure the water pool temperature. A type K thermocouple was placed just above the pool surface to measure the air temperature at the reactor room. Two type K thermocouples were distributed around the pool, in holes in the reactor room floor, to measure the soil temperature. The core of the TRIGA Mark I IPR-R1 Nuclear Reactor is placed below the room floor, in the bottom of a cylindrical pool, 6.625 m deep and 1.92 m in diameter, whose upper surface is 25 cm below the level of the floor. The reactor pool transfers heat to the environment by conduction to the soil, through the lateral walls and through the bottom of the pool, and by convection and evaporation to the air at the reactor room, through the upper surface. All these losses are calculated by the data acquisition system as described by Mesquita




Fig. 3. The reactor cooling system.




Fig. 4. Thermal power evolution in the cooling system.




Fig. 5. Diagram of the instrumented fuel element.

et al. (2007). Fig. 4 shows the power evolution in the primary and secondary loops during one reactor operation.

### 3.2. Power measuring channel by fuel and pool temperature

To evaluate the thermal hydraulic performance of the IPR-R1 Reactor one instrumented fuel element was put in the core for the

experiments (Mesquita, 2005). The instrumented fuel is identical to standard fuel elements but it is equipped with three chromel–alumel thermocouples, embedded in the zirconium pin centerline. The sensitive tips of the thermocouples are located one at the center of the fuel section and the other two 25.4 mm above, and 25.4 mm below the center. Fig. 5 shows the diagram and design of the instrumented fuel element (Gulf General Atomic, 1972). We can




Fig. 6. Fuel and inlet core water temperatures evolution.




Fig. 7. Reactor power measured by neutron channel and by fuel element temperature.




Fig. 8. Power monitoring on the screen of the data acquisition system.

see the instrumented fuel element in the center of the core upper view shown in the Fig. 2.

During the experiments it was observed that the temperature difference between fuel element and the pool water below the reactor core (primary loop inlet temperature) do not change for the same power value as can be seen on Fig. 6. With the instrumented fuel element in the hottest fuel element of the core (position B1), the power measured in linear channel (with the values corrected by the calibration results) was plotted as a function of the temperature difference between the fuel and the primary loop inlet temperature. The following polynomial expression was obtained that relates the two values:

$$q = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}(\Delta T)^3 - 0.0045(\Delta T)^2 + 0.7666\Delta T - 2.4475 \quad (2)$$

where  $q$  is the calibrated reactor thermal power, in [kW] and  $\Delta T$  is the difference between the average fuel temperature and the primary loop inlet temperature, in [°C]. It was obtained a determination coefficient equal to one ( $R^2 = 1$ ). Eq. (2) was included in the data acquisition system and this new power measurement channel is available for the IPR-R1 TRIGA Reactor. After the experiments the instrumented fuel element was maintained in position B1 of the core to monitor the reactor power and core temperature in all reactor operation. Fig. 7 compare the reactor power measuring results using the linear neutron channel and the temperature difference channel method. There is a good agreement between the two results, although the temperature difference method presents a delay in its response, and it is useful for steady-state or very slow transients.

The fuel temperature limit defined in the IPR-R1 TRIGA Reactor Accidents Report (CDTN/CNEN, 2007) during steady-state operation is 550 °C. A power operation limit over 1 MW was found based in this temperature and using Eq. (2).

Fig. 8 shows one of the video-screens displays of the digital monitoring system computer that consolidates information of the reactor power status in real time. This screen monitors the power

measured by the neutronics channels and by the three new thermal channels (Mesquita and Rezende, 2004).

#### 4. Conclusions

The knowledge of the reactor thermal power is very important for precise neutron flux and fuel element burnup calculations. The burnup is linearly dependent on the reactor thermal power and its accuracy is important to the determination of the mass of burned  $^{235}\text{U}$ , fission products, fuel element activity, decay heat power generation and radiotoxicity. The thermal balance method presented in this report is now the standard methodology used for the IPR-R1 TRIGA Reactor power calibration (CDTN/CNEN, 2007). The uncertainty value obtained does not differ significantly from another thermal calibration processes described in technical literature (Zagar et al., 1999). The heat balance and fuel temperature methods are accurate, but impractical methods for monitoring the instantaneous reactor power level, particularly during transients. For transients the power is monitored by the nuclear detectors, which are calibrated by the thermal balance method. On the other hand, the response of one nuclear detector is sensitive to the changes in the core configuration, mainly to the control rod position. This is important in research reactors, which do not have distributed absorbers for reactivity control and the normal mode of maintaining criticality is by insertion of control rods. The heating of the thermocouple due to the gamma ray is negligible because the small mass and good thermal radiation equilibrium with the surrounding fuel.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors express their thanks to the IPR-R1 operational staff and to the *Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG)* for the partial financial support.

**References**

- CDTN/CNEN, 2007. Safety Analysis Reporter of the IPR-R1 TRIGA Reactor. Belo Horizonte, Brazil, (in Portuguese).
- Coleman, H.C., Steele, W.G., 1999. *Experimentation and Uncertainty Analysis for Engineers*, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York.
- Gulf General Atomic, 1972. 15" SST Fuel Element Assembly Instrumented Core. San Diego, CA. Drawing Number TOS210J220.
- Mesquita, A.Z., 2005. Experimental Investigation on Temperatures Distributions in a Research Nuclear Reactor IPR-R1 TRIGA, Ph.D thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, São Paulo, (in Portuguese).
- Mesquita, A.Z., Rezende, H.C., 2004. Data Acquisition System for TRIGA Mark I Nuclear Research Reactor of CDTN. America Nuclear Energy Symposium (ANES 2004), Miami Beach, Flórida. America Nuclear Energy.
- Mesquita, A.Z., Rezende, H.C., Tambourgi, E.B., 2007. Power calibration of the TRIGA Mark I Nuclear Research Reactor. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* XXIX, 240–245.
- Miller, R.W., 1989. *Flow Measurement Engineering Handbook*, second ed. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York, pp. E19–E21.
- Zagar, T., Ravnik, M., Persic, A., 1999. Analysis of Reactor Thermal Power Calibration Method. In: *International Conference Nuclear Energy in Central Europe'99*, Portoroz, Slovenia. pp. 91–98.